

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,006	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Joseph M. Noto.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4/30&6/17/04

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ernest G. Therkorn
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed submitting a terminal disclaimer of U.S. Patent No. 6,596,988 to place the case in condition for allowance. Joseph M. Noto then indicated that he would submit terminal disclaimer. On June 17, 2004, the examiner proposed inserting the incorporated by reference material into the instant specification. Joseph M. Noto then authorized the insertion by examiner's amendment.